No.

### THE DUNKED SHAVES OF AMERICA

TO MIL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

### Quality Research Associates

Withereus, there has been presented to the

### Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF CIGhteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC DOF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXOTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT TALL AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

CORN

' 2381 '

In Testimony Winexect, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington this 29th day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-five.

Jh-R

Socretary of Agriculture

An. i

//LINETO/FY TOWN Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

 $\Lambda \times \Lambda \times \Lambda \times \Lambda \times$ 

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION						FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0005			
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARI			ERTIFICATE	may	be issued a	inless a con	ty protection npleted appli- ed (5 U.S.C		
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)		2. YEMPO	RARY DESIGNATION	3. V	ARIETY NA	ME	: ""		
Quality Research Associates		Q3	81	Ì	(	P381			
4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State	(e, and Zip Code)	5. PHONE	(Include area code)			CIAL USE O	NLY		
P.O. Box 99 Olivia, Minnesota 56277	İ	612 52	3-5120	PVP	явемии с 84	500098	8		
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NA	ME (Botanica	1)		DATE				
Zea Mays	Gramine	ae	s.	FILING	TIME	1/8 <u>5</u> ∵ – . □ A.M.	— <del></del> — (Х) г.м.		
8. KIND NAME	9.	DATE OF C	ETERMINATION	8	* 1,800	FOR FILING	. <del>-</del>		
Corn - Dent Inbred		Dec. 1	982	ECEIVI	DATE 4/1/	85			
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMEO IS NOT A "PERSOI partnership, association, etc.)	N," GIVE FORM	OF ORGAN	ZATION (Corporation,	EES REC	AMOUNT	FOR CERTII	FICATE		
Partnership			•	"	DATE: 17				
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPO	RATION			12, 0	ATE OF IN	CORPORAT	ION.		
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRES	ENATIVE(S), IF	ANY, TO SE	RVE IN THIS APPLICA	TION	AND RECE	IVE ALL PA	PERS		
Quality Research Associates P.O. Box 99 Olivia, Minnesota 56277		-							
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTAC	HMENT SUBMIT	TED				• .			
Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Ac.	Variety (See t.)	c. 🔀	Exhibit C, Objective De from Plant Variety Pro-	scripti tection	on of the Va Office)	iricty (Reque	rst form		
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement		€ [X]	Exhibit D. Additional I	VERS	HIP STAT	ENTENT	Rf5		
<ol> <li>DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Prot</li> </ol>	OF THIS VARIE	TY BE SOL	O BY VARIETY NAME Y <b>es</b> (If "Yes," answer it				TED NO		
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	VARIETY BE	17. IF 8E	"YES" TO ITEM 16, W YOND BREEDER SEEI	нісн					
8. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROTECTION	N OC THE WAR		oundation		gistered		Certified		
	The Value	err in the	U.S. ON OTHER COOR	i i mie:		Yes (If "Yes, of countries	," give names and dates)		
France Application sent March 20, 1985						No			
9. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED IN THE U.S. OR	OTHER COUNT	RIES?							
·	e .					Yes (If "Yes, of countries .	," give names and dates)		
O. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample	of basic seeds	of this vari	ety will be furnished	with t	lXI he applicat	ion and wil	l be re-		
plenished upon request in accordance with suc The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owne distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Sec Variety Protection Act.	h regulations as r(s) of this sexu	may be ap ally reprod	plicable. uced novel plant vari	-rv 2r	d helievels	c) that the s	reiety is		
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false represe	entation herein	can jeopare	lize protection and re	sult ir	penalties.				
Scality top auch assent	By £	June	N. tuck	OA.		185			
IGNATURE OF APPLICANT	m.Z	F	7-01	ДА 3	TE /2 7/	85			

### EXHIBIT A

14A	Origin and Breeding History of the Variety Q381
1969	One off-type plant was observed in a test plot planting of
	Pioneer 3369 at Scott City, Kansas. The plant was selfed and the
	seed saved.
1970	The seed was selfed and observed.
1971	
1972	Selfed and observed - ear to row for selection.
1973	
1974	
1975	
1976	Top crossed on various lines and observed, for best combining
1977	ability , showed promise of improved ear retention and good
1978	combining ability.
1979	Selected #79 - 328 and #79 - 329 as most uniform of selections
1980	79 - 328 and 79 - 329 recombined and increased
1981	Entered in testing program, with improved ear retention evident
	with good combining abilities, and contributing positive yield factors.
1982	Repeat of 1981.
1983	Increased quantities of seed for pilot production.
1984	Pilot production quantities for wide testing - and increased seed.

Q381 appears to be stable and exhibits no further segregation.

July 8, 1985 App. # 8500098

### EXHIBIT A SUPPLEMENT

- 1980 Year of generation increase occurred. Row 79-328 and 79-329 were bulked into a composite and increased with no visible variants at which time we felt we had a pure line expressing uniform plant characteristics including silk coloration.
- 1981 Line was examined again for uniformity and entered into a testing program.
- line was again examined for uniformity with no variants occurring and tested again over a wider area.
- The first year of block production we increased a 1 acre block of Q381 which we used for pilot production of experimental hybrids in 1984.
- 1984 We again increased the line for additional pilot production for wide area testing.
- 1985 We currently have 12 acres of Q381 growing in an increase block for potential commercial production use next year.

Since 1982 we have found no variants occurring in the inbred line other than the occasional outcross due from contamination. These have occurred less than .001 percent. To verify this; attached is a copy of the 1983 field inspection report from Minnesota Crop Improvement stating uniformity on each inspection.

App. # 8500098 EXHIBIT A SUPPORT

### MINNESOTA CROP IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

2000	
Applicant (1) et a Cità Le , la Cossecia Cantie	variety 1. 38/ in Red Carn Code 3062
1: 100 100 . 1	1
Grower Miles Madson	Previous Crop January
	Previous Crop
Is isolation Satisfactory? Yes U No 🖸	Seed Class: (Check) F A R C
·	
Number Per	Sample (Sample Size)
Factor 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Total %
Other Varieties	
July 26 My gette Corelles	a origina for the standard lear to
Jack 39 Vace Dis Ch Care	e lo Clare Roo a luce de ma
leng 2 60% bick - a killer	5 Miso G - Unital - 4 soletin 4 x oft
Cut I look tell lake to and	- Strand System
Dico F	neral Appearance 180 d 180 Ce Cen
Other Crops (Kind and Amount)	meral Appearance Table 1
Weeds (Kind and Amount)	;
Common	<u> </u>
Restricted	
Prohibited	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Field Classification: (Check) X Author Passed Passed Passed	arried surprestion for the latter
Rejected, Subject to Ap	plication for Reinspection by
Rejected	And the second of the second o
NOTE: For rejected fields, an applicant desiring reinspection must notify inspects An extra charge will be made for reinspections.	or when corrections have been made and reinspection is desired.
· .	onet from heraest
Corrections required, reason for rejection, special problems or ren	marks:
12 Axuso on W Andi for	<u> </u>
	sure of Karwest Lusges ten
Check if applicable: U This is a reinspection, special fee	
Date 818 Binspector // Clark	le our

APPLICANT: Take this report to seed plant when delivering seed for processing.

SUPPLEMENT TO EXHIBIT B

July 8, 1985 App. # 8500098

As per your explanation in paragraph (2) the most similar previously existing variety with which we have access is MBS847, a private inbred, sold by Mike Brayton Seeds of Ames, Iowa.

The accompanying data sheets show the existing differences as we evaluated Q381.

The points of greatest difference are:

- 1. Uniform silk color
- 2. Leaf angle

京海 のは震震震震のは震いると

- 3. Heat units to 90% pollen
- 4. Heat units to 50% silk
- 5. Heat units to black layer
- 6. Seed size

There are many differences of smaller magnitude when you compare all measured traits. To give you comparison means for the data comparison of MBS847 and Q381, I am also attaching the same data from same locations for A632 which is slightly earlier and CB59G which is slightly later.

September 4, 1985 App. # 8500098

### SUPPLEMENT TO EXHIBIT B

Comparison of characteristics identifiable and quantifiable for Q381 in relationship to MBS847, using A632 and CB59G as an early and later comparison check.

Inbred lines of dent corn Q381, MBS847, A632 and CB59G were among 20 different inbreds grown in (8) unique replicated trials at 5 locations across the corn belt in 1984 to evaluate their relative usefullness as inbred lines for production of commercial hybrids. The 5 locations were; Central Minnesota, East Central Nebraska, Central Iowa, North East Colorado, East Central Illinois.

The comparisons were made in randomized complete block designs with four replications per each test. At three of the five locations where tests were conducted, both an early and a late planting date were utilized, thus accounting for the eight separate comparisons.

Planting rates, fertilizers, herbicides and other management practices varied from location to location being consistent with normal management practices in the growing area. Traits evaluated in each experiment were days to 50% pollen shed and silk emergence, days to black layer, seedling vigor, rated visually on a 9 (best) to 1 (poorest) scale, average plant and ear height, final stand, percent of plants with stalk and root lodging and dropped ears at harvest, ear length, kernel row number per ear, percent moisture at harvest, grain yield and potential useable seed by 64ths using hand screens. Pollen and silking dates as well as black layer maturity dates were summarized, according to calendar days after emergence rather than heat unit accumulation, because high and low temperature data were not available from all locations where tests were grown.

Quantitative traits were analyzed statistically both within and across experiments. Across location averages of each inbred were compared by using the location times inbred mean square from the analysis of variance as the error term for calculation of the standard errors.

### Results:

Contrasts of several morphological characteristics of Q381 with MBS847, A632, and CB59G are presented in Table B-1.

Q381 began shedding pollen 2.2, 1.8, and 5.1 days earlier than MBS847, A632, and CB59G, respectively. Q381 began silking 1.0, 1.6, and 3.8 days earlier than MBS847, A632, and CB59G, respectively. Q381 reached black layer maturity 4.4, 5.8 and 7.5 days earlier than MBS847, A632, and CB59G, respectively. All these differences were significant at the 5% level of significance. There were no differences among inbreds for grain moisture percentage at black layer maturity.

Q381 displayed seedling vigor at approximately the 5 leaf stage similar to A632 and CB59G and significantly better than MBS847. Plant height of Q381 was not significantly different than that of MBS847. However, ear node height of Q381 was 2-6 inches taller than MBS847. Plant height of Q381 was about five inches shorter than A632 and CB59G. Ear height of Q381 was about the same as that of A632 but about six inches shorter than CB59G.

Q381 had significantly more kernel rows per ear than MBS847, but significantly less kernel rows than A632. Ear length of Q381 was significantly shorter than that of A632 and CB59G, and similar to MBS847. Grain yield adjusted to 15.5% moisture of the four inbreds was very similar, approximately 60 bushels/acre.

In order to compare the kernel grade-out of Q381 with the other inbreds, seed samples of each inbred were collected from each experiment. Seeds were sized and graded with conventional seed sizing equipment. Kernel frequencies of each inbred in each seed size of either flat or round kernel classes were calculated on a percentage basis (Table B-2). A chi-square square test was used to determine whether the percentage of kernels observed in each flat and round size class was the same as that expected if each of the two inbreds in a comparison had identical kernel size distributions.

The chi-square tests indicated that the frequencies of kernels in each flat and round size of Q381 were not the same as those in MBS847, A632, and CB59G. Those differences were significant at the 0.001 level of significance.

-
ī
TABLE

Q381 Compared To MBS847, A632, and CB59G In Elght Environments Observed in 1984. HORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

I						<del>-</del> -				 ጸታ	5000	98
	mars Plant	1.2	1.1	0.1	1.2	1:1	0.1	1.2	1.2	0.1		
	7 Dropped Ears	0.1	1.0	1.4	0.1	4.0	1.4	0.1	8.0	8.0		
,	Root Lodged	0.2	1.0	3.2	0.21	3.0	3.2	0.2	0.1	3.6		
,	Stalk Lodged	5.3	5.5	5.4	5.3	3.7	5.4	5.3	1.3	3.8*		
Grain.	neid Bu./Ac. @ 15.5%	5.65	61.5	12.8	59.5	9.09	12.8	59.5	6.09	7.1		
	A Harvest Moisture	12.8	13.2	9.6	12.8	13.2	9.6	12.8	12.8	0.8		
	Length (inches)	13.2	13.3	.e.	13.2	15.4	1.3*	13.2	1.5.1	1.6*	٠	
Kernel	Kows On Ear	14.1	13.2	*6.0	14.1 °	15.0	*6.0	14.1	13.8	1.4		
Ear	les)	28.2	25.6	1:3*	28.2	29.1	1.3	28.2	34.1	*9.1	ance level	
1	Fight Height (inches)	57.5	56.5	1.4	\$7.5	62.2	1.4*	57.5	62.9	1.9*	5 signific	
	vigor 9=Best 1*Poorest	6.7	5.6	0.4*	6.7	6.5	7.0	6.7	6.4	7.0	at the 0.0	
	4 moisture at Black Layer	29.8	30.9	4.2	29.8	27.0	4.2	29.7	28.2	4.9	Significantly different at the 0.05 significance level.	
	Black Layer	117.3	121.7	4,1*	117.3	123.1	4.1*	117.3	124.8	2.6*	ificantly	
Days To	1	68.6	9.69	0.7*	68.6	70.2	0.7*	68.6	72.4	*9.0	* Sign	
	50% Pollen	65.8	68.0	0.8*	65.8	67.6	*8*0	65.8	70.9	*6.0		
		9381	MBS847	LSD.05	9381	A632	LSD.05	4381	CB59G	1.50.05		·

TABLE B-2

### KERNEL GRADE-OUT DISTRIBUTIONS Of Q381, MBS847, A632, and CB59G

		FLAT									, <u>,</u>
	15	16	<u>17</u>	18	<u>19</u>	20	21	<u>22</u>	23	24	<u>25</u>
Q381	1.43	2.37	5.40	7.59	11.02	11.59	9.90	4.03	1.11	0.14	0.00
MBS847	1.49	2.73	7.08	10.88	14.78	12.22	5.56	1.12	0.11	0.00	0.00
A632	1.43	4.24	10.21	12.66	10.31	4.80	1.67	0.36	0.03	0.02	0.00
CB59G	0.58	0.74	2.04	3.72	6.85	8.72	8.43	4.30	1.64	0.43	0.08

ROUND													
	RS	<u>15</u>	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	$\frac{\chi_1}{\chi_2}$
Q381	2.62	1.07	2.35	4.27	6.31	8.92	9.01	7.06	2.94	0.73	0.13	0.00	
MBS847	2.20	1.41	3.33	5.97	8.22	10.15	7.98	3.84	0-80	0.13	0.00	0.00	442.4**
A632	1.15	1.76	5.61	10.76	11.53	11.10	6.84	3.77	1.47	0.23	0.03	0.00	1231.2**
CB59G	1.20	0.39	1.28	3.72	7.30	13.05	14.53	12.57	5.94	1.85	0.51	0.15	625.2**

<sup>\*\*</sup> Chi-square (  $\chi^2$  ) test indicates that kernel frequencies in each kernel size, class, are significantly different from those of Q381 at the 0.001 significance level.

FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 40-R3822 EXHIBIT C (Corn)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, POULTRY, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

### OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

	ZEA MAYS)
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Quality Research Associates ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.P.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code)	PYPO NUMBE 8500038
ADDRESS TOURS MINING OF REP. D. 1904, City, State, and ZIP Code,	VARIETY NAME OF TEMPORARY
Box 99	DESIGNATION
Olivia, Minnesota 56277	\$381
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal charac Place a zero in first box (e-a- 0 8 9 or 0 9 ) when numbe	ter of this variety in the boxes below. It is either 99 or less or 9 or less.
1. TYPE:	
2 1-SWEET 2-DENT 3-FLINT	4 = FLOUR 5 = POP 6 = ORNAMENTAL
2. REGION WHERE BEST ADAPTED IN THE U.S.A.:	
2 1 - NORTHWEST 2 - NORTHCENTRAL 5 - SOUTHCENTRAL 6 - SOUTHWEST	3 = NORTHEAST 4 = SOUTHEAST 7 = MOST REGIONS
3. MATURITY (In Region of Best Adaptability):	(Under "comments" (pg. 3) state how
6 8 DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO 50% OF PLANTS IN SILK	heat units were calculated)    4     HEAT UNITS
NA DAYS FROM 50% SILK TO OPTIMUM EDIBLE QUALITY	
4 7 DAYS FROM 50% SILK TO HARVEST AT 25% KERNEL! (Black Layer)	0 9 7 4 HEAT ONTS
4. PLANT:	
1 6 5 CM. HEIGHT (To tassel tip)	0 7 2 CM. EAR HEIGHT (To base of top ear)
CM, LENGTH OF TOP EAR INTERNODE	
116	
Number of Tillers:	Number of Ears Per Stalk:
	<u></u>
1 - NONE 2 = 1-2 3 = 2-3 4 = > 3	1 = SINGLE 2 = SLIGHT TWO-EAR TENDENCY 3 = STRONG TWO-EAR TENDENCY 4 = THREE-EAR TENDENCY
Cytoplasm Type;	
1 = NORMAL 2 = "T" 3 = "S" 4	"C" 5 * OTHER (Specify)
5. LEAF (Field Corn Inbred Examples Given):	
Color:	
2 1 = LIGHT GREEN (HY) 2 = MEDIUM GREEN (WE	9) 3 * DARK GREEN (814) 4 * VERY DARK GREEN (K166)
Angle from Stalk (Upper half)	Sheath Pubscence:
1 - < 30 2 - 30 - 60 3 - > 60	1 = LIGHT (W22) 2 = MEDIUM (WF9)
	3 = HEAVY (OH26)
Marginal Waves:	Longitudinal Creases:
1 = NONE (HY) 2 = FEW (WF9) 3 = MANY (OH7L)	1 - ABSENT (OH51) 2 - FEW (OH56A)
Width:	3 = MANY (PA11) Length:
0 8 CM. WIDEST POINT OF EAR NODE LEAF	0 8 0 CM, EAR NODE LEAF
1 2 NUMBER OF LEAVES PER MATURE PLANT	

	8500038
6. TASSEL:	
1 3 NUMBER OF LATERAL BRANCHES	
Branch Angle from Central Spike:	Penduncia Length:
3 1 = < 30° 2 = 30-40° 3 ° > 45°	0 9 CM. FROM TOP LEAF TO BASAL BRANCHES
	[0] 5] CIM PROMITOR CERT TO BRANCHES
Pollen Shed:	
2	
1 ~ LIGHT (WF9) 2 = MEDIUM	3 = HEAVY(KYZ1)
4 Anther Color: 1 = YELLOW 2 = PINK	0-050
Anther Color: 1 = YELLOW 2 = PINK Glume Color: 6 = OTHER (Specify)	3 = RED 4 = PURPLE 5 = GREEN
,	
Pollen Restoration for Cytoplasms (o = Not Tested, 1 × Partial, 2 × Good	4)
0 0 0c orr	tER (Specify Cytoplasm and degrees of restoration)
	CA (Specify Cytopiasili and degrees of residuation)
7. EAR (Husked Ear Data Except When Stated Otherwise):	,
1 3 CM LENGTH 5 1 MM, MID-POINT DIAMETER	90 GM WEIGHT
Kernel, Rows:	
1 = INDISTINCT 2 = DISTINCT	1 4 NUMBER
1 = INDISTINCT 2 = DISTINCT	
2 - SLIGHTLY CURVED	3 - SPIRAL
Silk Color (Exposed at Silking Stage);	
[-]	
3 1 = GREEN 2 = PINK 3 = SALMON	4 = RED
Musk Calor:	
FRESH ) 1 = LIGHT GREEN	2 = DARK GREEN 3 = PINK
}	•
6 DRY 4 - RED 5 - PUR	PLE 6 * BUFF :
	usk Leaf:
2 1 = SHORT (Ears Exposed) 2 = MEDIUM (Barely Covering Ear) 3 = LONG (8—10CM Beyond Ear Tip)	1 - SHORT ( < 8 CM) 2 - MEDIUM (8-15 CM) 3 - LONG ( > 15 CM)
4 = VERY LONG (> 10 CM)  Shank: Po	osition at Dry Husk Stage:
0 5 CM LONG 8 NO. OF INTERNODES	2 1 = UPRIGHT 2 = HORIZONTAL 3 = PENDENT
Taper: O	rying Time (Unhusked Ear):
2 1 = SLIGHT 2 = AVERAGE 3 = EXTREME	2 1 - SLOW 2 = AVERAGE 3 = FAST
8. KERNEL (Dried):	
Size (From Ear Mid-Paint):	
12 MM LONG 08 MM. WIDE 0	5 MM, THICK
Shape Grade (% Rounds)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3 1 * < 20 2 * 20 - 40 3 * 40 - 60	4 - 60 80 5 - > 80 //
FORM LPGS-470-28 (3-79)	Page 2 of 2

				{	3500038	
8. KERNEL (Dried)	:					
1 Pericar	p Color: 1 = COLORI 5 = BROWN 8 = VARIEC		HITE CROWN RED	3 = TAN 7 = CHERRY A	4 = BRONZE ED	
Aleuro	ne Color: 1 ≈ HOMOZ	YGOUS 2 = SEG	REGATING (Describe)			
3 1 - WH	HTE 2 PINK	3 - TAN	4 - BROWN		5 = BRONZE	6 · RED
7 = PU	RPLE 8 - PALI	PURPLE 9 - VA	RIEGATED (Describe)			<del></del>
3 Endosp	erm Color: 1 = WH1	TE 2-PALE YELLOW	3 - YELLOW	4 = PINK-OR/	ANGE 5 = WHIT	TE CAP.
Endosperm Type	:			•		
3 1-sw	VEET (su1) 2	= EXTRA SWEET (sh2)	3 = NORMAL STA		HIGH AMYLOSE STA	ARCH
5 = W	XXY STARCH 6	× HIGH PROTEIN	7 = HIGH LYSINE	·	OTHER (Specify	
2 5 GM, W	ÆIGHT /100 SEEDS (Uni	ized Sample)				
9. COB:						
	HAMETER AT MID-POIN	т				
Strength:			Color:			
	EAK 2° STRON	G [	3 1 - WHITE 2		RED 4 = BROWN	
2		·	5 = VARIEGATE	D 60T	HER (Specify)	
10. DISEASE RESIS	STANCE (O = Not Tested	1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant	t):			
O STAI	K ROT (Diplodia)	0 STALK BOT	(Euserium)	0 s	TALK ROT (Gibberel	la)
			LEAF BLIGHT	<u> </u>	MUT	
	THERN LEAF BLIGHT			<u></u>	SACTERIAL WILT	
<del>  </del>	HERN RUST	<u></u>		<u> </u>		
0 васт	ERIAL LEAF BLIGHT	0 MAIZE DWAI	RF MOSAIC	0 s	TUNT	
0 отне	R (Specify)					
11. INSECT RESIST	FANCT (O = Not Tested,	t = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)	:			
	_	_				
D CORM	IBORER	0 EARWORM	0 sa	PBEETLE	0 APHII	D
0 R001	WORM (Northern)	0 ROOTWORM (Western)				
	WORM (Southern)	OTHER (Specify)				
	L					
	ST CLOSELY RESEMBL	ING THAT SUBMITTED FO			VARIETY	
CHARACTER		A632	CHARACTE		A632	
Maturity		CB59G	Kernel Type Quality (Edit		Not Applicab	le
Plant Type Ear Type		CB59G	Usage		CB59G	
REFERENCES: U.S. E	Department Agriculture. Y	earbook 1937.	· ·			
Corn:	Culture, Processing, Prod	ucts. 1970 Avi Publishing Co				
		d A.C. Freser. A Summary of		,Cornell A.E.S., I	Mem. 180, 1935.	
		rop Science Society of Ameri lines of Ohio, Ohio A.E.S. Bu				
		for the Classification of Corn		ssis, Ohio State U	niversity.	
-21415175						
		alculated by actua tandards, A632 and		nd compari	son with	
	CHO (Z) KHOWH S	candards, AUSZ and	1 11017.			

FORM LPGS-470-28 (3-79)

### EXHIBIT D

### Objective Description

Tassel Trait Comments

Tassel Branches: Horizontal to semi-erect

Tassel Color: At pollen shed, Rachis is green. Glumes are

purple with slight mottling.

Tassel Size: Medium to medium small, and not necessarily symetrical.

# Tassel Branches: 4 to 8 irregularly spaced and unevenly lengthed.

Bracht Color: Yellow or Light green.
Anther Color: Dark red or maroon

Anther Emergence: Begins 2/3 the way up central tassel axis and

proceeds from upper branches to lower branches.

Ear Traits

Silk Color: Consistently pink to red.

Shank Length: Long, giving the appearance that the ear is just

under ½ way up the plant.

Ear Node Height: 1/4 to 1/3 up the plant.

Kernel Size: Similar to A632, approximately 70% 20/18 F1. & Rds.

Kernel Color: Slightly dull yellow-orange with purple or dark colored

embryonic pumule visible through the pericarp.

Cob Color: Dark red

Ear Leaves: Usually 1-3, quite small, (½ to 1 inch) ear leaves.

Plant Traits

Plant Height: 4 - 6 inches shorter than BEBA and 2 inches shorter

than RWAC-48 inches in Hawaii.

Leaf Number: 12 leaves in Hawaii.

Leaf Length: Medium long, similar to A632.

Leaf Width: Medium narrow, but not as narrow as A632.

Leaf Angle: Upper leaves are semi-erect with tips of leaves often

flagging. Lower leaves are more horizontal.

Leaf Color: Dull, but deep olive green, not bright like LOAD.

Midrib is distinctly white.

Stalk Color: Green with sometimes purple mottling-especially

under stress.

Stalk Shape: Medium-small diameter with zig-zag internodes.

### Objective Description con't.

Plant Traits

Comments

Ear/Plant:

f of plants have 2nd ear - many plants have 3 ears starting. 1/4 to 1/2 of plants would produce a 2nd fair ear.

Seedling Vigor:

Shows considerably purple color under stress, especially water-logging. However, seedling

continues to grow well.

Tillering:

About 2% in Hawaii.

Northern Leaf Blight:

Medium resistance; at pollen shed, the leaves showed a fair number of small lesions under

conditions of extremly high inoculum.

Root Traits

Root Quantity:

High number of small to medium sized brace roots.

Root Color:

Brace roots are dark purple, but when first

developed they are bright red.

# MORPHOLOGICAL TRAITS

EXHIBIT B SUPPORT App. # 8500098

IASSEL: Branches Glumes

purple medium

Comments

12-15; open reddish purple stripes

Anthers Pull

Silk Color

red

pink early growth to red later stage leafy

Cob Color

Comments

multiple ear shoots at node, up to four

medium green

。 99 Angle wavy; white midrib; long necrotic leasions due to heat stress; purple sheath stain;

long leaves

narrow

Color Width

LEAF:

Comments

PLANT: Stalk

slender

Brace Roots

purple; many

very symmetrical looking plant Comments

## PLANT & EAR HEIGHTS

PLANT Plot #

EAR

7	58	28	
9	55	23	
ĸ	9	59	
4	57	27	
က	52	25	
2	56	26	
۲	8	32	
AVG	58	28	

23

36

75.3

78.7

78.3

78.3

2,4-D Post 2.0

Prowl+ Bladex Post 1.0

> Bladex Post 1.7

> Buctril Post 1.3

Lasso Pre 1.0

> PHYTOTOXICITY RATING

PHYTOTOXICITY DATA

27.0

PLANT HEIGHT POLLEN DATE SILK DATE

76.3

J.	)
$\vdash$	•
II	•
4	•
RA	į
	•
	•
· r	١
$\succeq$	′
_	•
OMIC	•
	)
ラ	-
Z	•
<u></u>	)
AGR	4
(	)
_	4
< 1	

EXHIBIT B SUPPORT App. # 8500098

ωl		
%DE		
%RL 1		
%SL 2.8		
VIGOR RATING 6.7		HOL
SRG	(5)	SW
EMERG 82.9	CLA RATING 9.0	M9
GRAMS /EAR 57.4	(DI	MCDV
% GOOD EARS 93.4	ECB2 RATING 4.1	MDMA
ROWS OF K 14	NG NG	YLB
EAR RC LENGTH O 5.0" 13cm R/5	ECB1 RATING 5.0	EYE
EARS/ PLANT 1	ATA	TA ALB
•	CAL D	SLB
POLLEN YIELD 4.7	TOMOLOGICAL DATA	HOLOGICAL DATA
EST YIELD 58.9	ENTON	PATHC NLB

## FLOWERING DATA

EXHIBIT B SUPPORT App. # 8500098

BLH20	27				33				30
нивц	2457		2382		2424				2421
BL	103	116	126	117	132	108			117
HU(\$90-\$10).	139		105				101		115
\$90-\$10	5.5	2.5	4.7	4.0		2.5	3.8	3.3	3.6
HUS90	1586		1418				1358		1454
\$90	65.0	66.5	81.5	77.3		62.0	67.0	66.3	)69.0
HUS50	1496		1372		1472		1303		1423
\$50	61.5	65.0	79.3	75.3	74.5	61.0	65.0	64.3	9.89
HUS10	1447		1313				1257		1339
\$10	59.5	64.0	76.8	73.3		59.5	63.3	63.0	65.4
HU(P90-P10)	103		139		49		163		113
P90-P10	5.0	3.0	5.6	6.3	2.0	4.0	6.3	6.3	4.8
HUP90	1435		1372		1445		1391		1411
P90	59.0	65.0	79.3	79.3	73.3	61.0	68.3	66.8	69.0
HUP50	1383		1277		1419		1270		1337
P50	56.5	63.3	75.3	74.8	72.5	59.0	63.8	61.8	65.8
HUP10	1332		1233		1396		1228		1297
P10	54.0	62.0	73.7	73.0	71.3	57.0	62.0	60.5	64.2
		L						l	
.:									Ö

### SHAKEOUT DATA

25	0	0	0
24	1.	Ľ.	.2
23	Ľ	1.1	1.8
22	22 2.9		6.9
21	7.0	9.6	16.8
20	6.8	11.5	20.4
19	8.8	10.9	19.7
18	6.3	7.5	13.8
17	4.2	5.3	9.5
16	2,3	2.3	4.6
15	1.1	1.4	2.5
RS			2.6
TOTAL	42.4	54.1	96.5
	ROUND	FLAT	TOTAL

### Q381

# MORPHOLOGICAL TRAITS

EXHIBIT B SUPPORT App. # 8500098

> 12-18; spread out purple Glumes Comments TASSEL: Branches

purple Anthers Pull

easy to medium

variable yellow - pink - red leafy Silk Color Ear Shoots EAR:

red Cob Color

> multiple ear shoots at a node; upright ear Comments

dark green Width LEAF: Color

Angle

20°

bright yellow midrib; sturdy structure medium-wide Comments

Brace Roots

green

PLANT: Stalk

sturdy medium structure nice looking plant; Comments

## PLANT & EAR HEIGHTS

∞	55	30
7	57	26
9	56	22
5	99	26
4	28	24
3	53	24
2	54	24
	60	28
AVG	57	26
Plot *	PLANT	EAR

**MBS847** 

114 85/00,0

17
$\infty$
S
2

		·	<b>V</b>	GR	NO N	OMI	AGRONOMIC TRAITS	RAI	LS		ЕХН Арр	EXHIBIT B App. #850	EXHIBIT B SUPPORT App. # 8500098
Est <u>Yield</u> 57.6	Pollen Yield 6.6	Ears/ Plant 1.1	Ear Length 5.5"	2	Rows Of K 12-14	% Good Ears 95.4	Grams /Ear 59.5	Emerg 76.5		Vigor Rating 5.6	3.6	%RL 4	%DE
ENTOMOLOGICAL DATA	SAL DAT		ECB1 Rating 4.5	ECB2 Rating		CLA Rating 9.0		·					
PATHOLOGICAL DATA	AL DATA							-			•		
NLB	NLS	SLB	ALB	EYE	VLB	MOMA	MCDV	<u>GW</u> 6.5	<u>S</u>	H01			-
PHYTOTOX	CITY	TA dex	i asso	Prow	ā	-		Rayon -	20030	ç	Prow1+	Ċ	
	إمّا	Pre	Pre	Pre	3-1	Post	Post	Post	Post	₹	Post	Post	- I
PHYTOTOXICITY RATING	ICITY 3						¥	·					
PLANT HEIGHT	GHT						-						
POLLEN DATE	\TE												
SILK DATE			·	٠.	-								

AGRONOMIC TRAITS

## FLOWERING DATA

EXHIBIT B SUPPORT

		·			,					
	BLH20	27				35				31
0	HUBL	2663	****	2404		2433				2500
esonnce #	BL	115	121	127	124	134	113			122
# -ddw	HU(\$90-\$10)	100		96	~			116		104
€	\$90-\$10	4.0	2.5	5.0	5.0		2.8	4.3	3.5	3.8
	HUS90	1572		1410				1392		1458
	\$90	64.5	68.0	81.5	80.0		64.0	68.3	67.3	70.1
	HUS50	1522		1367		1527		1323		1453
-	\$50	62.5	66.3	79.0	77.3	76.0	62.5	65.8	65.0	(9.6)
	HUS10	1472		1314				1276		1354
	\$10	60.5	65.5	76.5	75.0		61.3	64.0	63.8	66.3
÷	HU(P90-P10)	54		155		83		191		121
	P90-P10	2.3	3.0	7.3	6.5	3.0	2.3	7.0	6.3	4.7
	HUP90	1478		1439		1555		1453		1481
	P90	60.8	8.99	82.8	80.3	77.0	62.5	70.5	69.3	71.2
•	HUP50	1447		1326		1500		1309		1396
	P50	59.5	8.49	77.0	76.0	75.8	61.3	65.3	64.3	68.0
	НИР10	1424		1284		1472		1262		1361
	P10	58.5	63.8	75.5	73.8	74.0	60.3	63.5	63.0	66.5
					!	1	<u> </u>		1	<u>း .</u> ပ

### SHAKEOUT DATA

1		I	
25	0	0	0
24	0	0	0
23	.1	.1	.2
22	8	1.1	1.9
21	3.8	5.6	20.2 9.4
50	8.0	12.2	20.2
. 19	10.1	14.8	24.9
18	8.2	10.9	19.1
17	6.0	7.1	13.1
16	3.3	2.7	6.0
15	1.4	1.5	2.9
RS			2.2
TOTAL	41.8	55.9	7.79
	ROUND	FLAT	TOTAL

### MBS847

### EXHIBIT E

### Statement of Ownership

The original plant was personally selected by M.T. Madson while rogueing sorghum fields in Kansas. He returned the seed to Minnesota and worked on and off with it until 1979, when he felt he had stabilized and cleaned it up; all of this was done on his own farm.

In 1982 when Quality Seed Associates, Inc., was organized he came to work for us continuing his plant breeding program, while serving as a production manager for Quality Seed Associates, Inc.

In 1983 Quality Seed Associates, Inc., formed a partnership with Milo T. Madson called Quality Research Associates for the purpose of marketing any developed lines.

Quality Research Associates, which is Quality Seed Associates, Inc., and Mr. Milo Madson are the principles and owners of the line for which application is being made.